

FROM READERS

Another take on immigration

Dan Walters' column ("Immigrants' value to California is a hard fact, not an illusion," Aug. 28) wasn't so much about the value of immigrants as it was about rewarding law-breakers because, after all, what else can we do?

My neighbor loves immigrants, legal or otherwise. He had work done on his house — all at a price within the budget of a retired school teacher.

No dispute, this is the kind of market for services we want — even as we vilify Wal-Mart for doing the same with goods. I suggest that immigrants have something to teach us — about free enterprise and a handshake; about kicking out the middle man (government) and passing the savings along.

But what about the principle that we are a nation of laws? Ah, the fly in the ointment. The left is populated by moral relativists. These secular humanists will bend principle to serve desired outcomes. The law-breaking noncitizen gets a pass; the tax/regulation evader gets jail time — unless he's in America illegally, and then we "level the playing field" for him.

Walters wants us to realistically address facts. Funny, the situation he presents is one in which facts are created. That's unprincipled politics, and Walters calls for more of the same.

Paul Burton
THE CITY

Statistical differences

From Page 10 of Wednesday's Examiner: "Last spring's seniors scored on average 502 out of 800 on the reading section, down from 503 for the class of 2006."

Does anyone out there really believe that there is a statistical difference between 502 and 503? Incredible! And then to try to explain the difference and crow about it — unbelievable! Get real.

Will Richardson
SAN CARLOS

Issues with GOP spokesman

San Francisco Republican Party Chairman Mike DeNunzio is yet another example of a GOP spokesman who always seems to take facts out of context (letters, Aug. 29). No wonder the Republican Party in San Francisco can't expand its base.

Whereas the recent U.S. Justice Department scandal had actually started within the department itself, the firings of the U.S. attorneys in 1993 were justified: President Clinton had just become president and the U.S. attorneys were appointed from the previous Justice Department under President George H.W. Bush. Furthermore, Republican U.S. senators have called for Gonzales' resignation ages ago. Therefore, this isn't an issue blown out of proportion by the Democrats.

We need to focus on how the U.S. Justice Department can repair its image with a new attorney general, not dwell in the past.

Michael Briggan
BERKELEY

Does Mike DeNunzio really believe we are so stupid to buy his ridiculous claim that the Democratic Congress treated Alberto Gonzales unfairly? The same Alberto Gonzales who perjured himself during his confirmation hearings, but got a free pass from the rubber-stamp Republican Congress? The same Alberto Gonzales who argued that the Constitution does not give us the right to habeas corpus, and called the Geneva Conventions quaint? The same Alberto Gonzales who visited a very sick and sedated John Ashcroft in his hospital bed, hoping to take advantage of the situation in order to continue an illegal wiretapping program? The list goes on, but the bottom line is Gonzales failed miserably in his pledge to uphold

TO ADD YOUR VOICE

We give preference to letters containing fewer than 150 words. Please include name, phone number and city of residence.

By e-mail:
letters@examiner.com

By mail:
Editorial Page Editor
The Examiner, 450 Mission St.
San Francisco, CA 94105

By fax:
(415) 359-2766

the Constitution, and instead made a mockery of our Justice Department. Only a loyalist partisan hack would think Gonzales got a raw deal. It is the American people who got the raw deal!

Greg Lennon
THE CITY

Free transit days senseless

It appears that Spare the Air free transit days simply reward people who normally take mass transit every day due to its convenience and low cost versus driving and parking. For people who don't normally take mass transit, it isn't due to the cost. They drive because it's easier for them or they just don't like using public transit or they like to show off their spinning rims. So it seems to be a huge waste of money to give free rides to people who would have taken public transit anyway while very few motorists might be swayed into using transit on the free days. I'm certain the money allocated to this program can be better spent elsewhere.


Marc Schoenfeld
THE CITY

Nowak a space case?

Capt. Lisa Nowak's trial is about to begin and her lawyers are going to try using the insanity plea as defense. They could be right because who else would drive hundreds of miles wearing a diaper thereby passing up making pit stops to go after her rival in this sordid NASA love triangle.

The troubled lady could use a "Huggie" in her time of need. She could be facing exile to "Space ... the Final Frontier" if found guilty.

Herb Stark
MASSAPEQUA, N.Y.



JOHN P. WILCOX
PRESIDENT & PUBLISHER

JAMES E. PIMENTEL
EXECUTIVE EDITOR

PATRICK BROWN
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

PAUL INGEGNERI
VICE PRESIDENT OF ADVERTISING

MIKE COSTELLO
VICE PRESIDENT OF CIRCULATION

ZORAN BASICH
MANAGING EDITOR

DEIRDRE HUSSEY
SR. ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR

EDITORIAL

Big Labor strangles government

Frustrated by their steadily declining membership in the private sector where fewer than one in 10 workers are now unionized, Big Labor's bosses have been aggressively trying to recruit dues-paying members from the ranks of state and local government work forces. They've succeeded to the point that one in three public employees is now unionized.

But the union bosses want more and, since Denver is the site of next year's Democratic National Convention, it's no surprise that they now have Colorado taxpayers in their sights. The union bosses are pushing from the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees to make all local and state workers subject to collective bargaining.

The proposal has "bad idea" written all over it and, as a middle-of-the-road Democrat who showed backbone earlier this year on Big Labor's card check trick, Ritter can give it to them straight now, too. Here's why collective bargaining for Colorado public employees is ill-advised:

First, there is one simple reason unions love to organize government workers. It's a lot easier to extract

The proposal has "bad idea" written all over it and, as a middle-of-the-road Democrat who showed backbone earlier this year on Big Labor's card check trick, [Colorado Gov. Bill] Ritter can give it to them straight now, too.

big raises and juicier benefits from politicians bidding for votes among politically active bureaucrats, who, unlike the private sector, don't have to worry about the bottom line. That explains why unionized public sector workers covered by collective bargaining agreements cost an estimated 20 percent more than nonunion workers, as measured by Bureau of Labor Statistics data.

Nearly one-fourth of Colorado state employees are in unions already, a key factor in boosting the average state worker salary to more than \$44,000. UnionFacts.com estimates that Colorado taxpayers could pay 15.23 percent lower state taxes without the added expense of unionized state workers.

And let's not forget that collective bargaining will also mean fatter health and retirement benefits for government workers. Many states with heavily unionized public work forces — notably California, Illinois, Indi-

ana, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, Ohio and West Virginia — now face the bitter choices of raising taxes, cutting state spending on other programs or defaulting on public employee pension and health care obligations won through collective bargaining agreements years ago. There can be no doubt that the latest collective bargaining grab by the public employee unions will only make Colorado government more expensive.

What's most worrisome about the proposal, however, is the havoc it would wreak in many Colorado workplaces, public and private. Besides collective bargaining for state and local employees, the labor bosses also want to force nonunion public employees to make a "fair share" payment to the unions. Once unions have such power in public workplaces, how long before they demand it in private businesses as well? Colorado's healthy working environment would then be in serious trouble.

EDITORIAL CARTOON By Tom Toles/The Washington Post

